Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/824,570	60 EBERSPACHER ET AL		RETAL.
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jason L Savage		1775	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Jason L Savage.	(3)			
(2) Richard Diefendorf.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 11 January 2002.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-55</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner contacted the Applicant about the restriction requirement. The Applicant's representative asked for time to contact the inventors to get instructions for the restriction, however the Applicant's representative never called the Examiner to give the inventor's response.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amend			
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s checked). 	eparate record of	the substanc	e of the interviev	v(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Exa	aminer's sign	ature, if required	<u></u>